

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/736,548 | 12/13/2000 | Uwe Schumann | BEIERSDORF 685-WCG | 5636 | |
| 7 | 7590 04/04/2003 | | | | |
| Norris McLaughlin & Marcus, P.A. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 220 East 42nd Street 30th Floor | | | CHANG, V | CHANG, VICTOR S | |
| New York, NY | ? 10017 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMB | | |
| | | | 1771 | 13 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003 | , , | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| the same | | | AS-L | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/736,548 | SCHUMANN ET AL. | | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Victor S Chang | 1771 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire land ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 March 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) 🔲 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancellingNOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims | 3. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed | amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see | | dered but does NO | Γ place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | nd an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | ı | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4-11. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | שטפד יויטיחט | ER Namil | Zuku | | | |
| | 170 | U | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/736,548

Art Unit: 1771

NOTE

- 1. Applicants amendment which includes generic descriptions of various trademark compounds in the application (Response, page 2) is entered. It is believed that this addition, although overlooked during prior prosecution, is a desirable addition to the application. Further, it is also noted that none of the claims are amended.
- 2. Applicants' argument that Kinzer's invention uses a plurality of epoxies whereas the Applicants' crosslinked epoxy resin is comprised of an epoxy component and an amine component (Response, page 3, second paragraph) is not persuasive. The Examiner reiterates (see page 3 of Paper No. 6) that Kinzer teaches that a plurality of epoxy resins including bisphenol A epoxy resins, cycloaliphatic epoxy resins, and aliphatic epoxy resins or mixtures can be used (column 2, lines 20-24). The epoxy coating compositions may also include hardeners (i.e., amines), etc. (column 6, lines 17-21).
- 3. With respect to Applicants' arguments that "neither Kinzer nor Wiest teaches or suggests adding an amine component to the epoxy composition" and "it is unclear that Kinzer actually teaches a crosslinked epoxy resin" (Response, page 3, paragraphs 3-4), the Examiner respectfully repeats that Kinzer teaches that the epoxy coating compositions may also include hardeners (i.e., amines), etc. as set forth above, and it is old and well known to one of ordinary skill in the art of epoxy curing (i.e., crosslinking) that various amines are also hardeners.